

Towards Finer-Grained Access Control for Globally Accessible IoT

Luoyao Hao, Andrea Huang, Vibhas Naik, Olaedo Okoroafor, and Henning Schulzrinne * Department of Computer Science, Columbia University

Email: {I.hao, amh2341, vn2302, ooo2139}@columbia.edu, hgs@cs.columbia.edu

Introduction

IoT devices collect and transfer potentially sensitive user data, and a lack of effective access control and authentication protocols leaves them vulnerable as targets and entry points to large-scale attacks that can compromise entire systems.

Fundamental Challenges

- Limited storage, power, and computational capacity of devices
- Unable to enforce traditional web-based approach
- Usually rely on a "controller"
- Various scenarios and privileges
- Need a flexible and powerful mechanism
- Access data in an IoT ecosystem
- Cross-domain access
- Rapid prototyping & testing
- Without physical IoT devices
- Without access to IoT systems







"ID": "0000000000000001'

"DE": "coap://device",

"AC": "GET",

"DD": 99

"DD": 99

"DD": 99

"NB": "1525691114",

"NA": "1540691114", "IC": "0000000000000000

"RE": "time"

"AC": "GET",

"AC": "PUT",

"RE": "resource",

"RE": "resource",

"SU": <public key of the subject>

"AR": [{

}, {

}, {

}],

Approach

- Manage metadata of devices as standard description profiles
- Store profiles into federated and distributed IoT directories for retrieval
- Apply finer-grained access control combining roles and attributes
- Federated access using OAuth2 and OpenID
- Two-phase access control trusted by directories

Access Control for IoT

- Classic access control mechanisms
 - Traditional Access Control List (ACL)
 - Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)
 - Attribute-Based Access Control (ABAC)
 - Capability-Based Access Control (CapBAC)

(ranked from coarsest to finest granularity)





Ο

Ο

Ο

Ο



Comparison of access control list, role list, and capability list







* Authors ordered alphabetically by last name